



ELSEVIER

Nonlinear Analysis 56 (2004) 905–918

**Nonlinear
Analysis**

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

Fixed point and approximation results for multimaps in S-KKM class

Naseer Shahzad^{a,b,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University,
P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia*

^b*The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy*

Received 21 July 2003; accepted 24 October 2003

Abstract

The paper discusses new fixed point and approximation theorems for multimaps in the class S-KKM.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fixed point; Condensing multimap; SKKM property; Approximation

1. Introduction

In 1969, Ky Fan [5] established the following results:

Let C be a nonempty, compact, convex subset of a normed space E . Then for any continuous mapping f from C to E , there exists an $x_0 \in C$ with

$$\|x_0 - f(x_0)\| = \inf_{y \in C} \|f(x_0) - y\|.$$

This result has been generalized to other sets C and other types of maps; see, for instance, [1,6,8–14,16,17]. Recently, Lin and Park [10] obtained a multivalued version of Ky Fan's result for α -condensing \mathcal{W}_c^k maps (see definition below) defined on a closed ball in a Banach space. More recently, O'Regan and Shahzad [13] extended their result to countably condensing maps. The aim of this paper is to obtain some Ky

* Corresponding author. Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail address: naseer_shahzad@hotmail.com (N. Shahzad).

Fan approximation type results for Φ -condensing and 1- Φ -contractive s-KKM(C, C, E) multimaps, where C is closed convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $\text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Since every α -condensing map $F : C \rightarrow 2^E$ is Φ -condensing if C is complete and since \mathcal{W}_c^k class is a subclass of the s-KKM class, our results generalize the work of Lin and Park [10]. We also derive the Leray–Schauder-type result of Chang et al. [2] as an application of our approximation result.

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a Hausdorff locally convex space. For a nonempty set $Y \subseteq E$, 2^Y denotes the family of nonempty subsets of Y . If L is a lattice with a minimal element 0, a mapping $\Phi : 2^E \rightarrow L$ is called a generalized measure of noncompactness, provided the following conditions hold:

- (a) $\Phi(A) = 0$ if and only if \bar{A} is compact.
- (b) $\Phi(\overline{\text{co}}(A)) = \Phi(A)$; here $\overline{\text{co}}(A)$ denotes the closed convex hull of A .
- (c) $\Phi(A \cup B) = \max\{\Phi(A), \Phi(B)\}$.

It follows that if $A \subseteq B$, then $\Phi(A) \leq \Phi(B)$. Let C be a nonempty subset of a Banach space X . The Kuratowskii measure of noncompactness is the map $\alpha : 2^X \rightarrow L$ defined by

$$\alpha(A) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : A \text{ can be covered by a finite number of sets each of diameter less than } \varepsilon\}$$

for $A \in 2^X$. The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness is the map $\chi : 2^X \rightarrow L$ defined by

$$\chi(A) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : A \text{ can be covered by a finite number of balls with radius less than } \varepsilon\}$$

for $A \in 2^X$. Examples of the generalized measure of noncompactness are the Kuratowskii measure and the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness (see [15]).

Let C be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E and $F : C \rightarrow 2^E$. Then F is called Φ -condensing provided that $\Phi(A) = 0$ for any $A \subseteq C$ with $\Phi(F(A)) \geq \Phi(A)$. It is clear that a compact mapping is Φ -condensing and also every mapping defined on a compact set is necessarily Φ -condensing. Suppose that L is a lattice with a minimal element 0 and that for each $l \in L$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, with $\lambda > 0$, an element $\lambda l \in L$ is defined. A mapping $F : C \rightarrow 2^E$ is called a k - Φ -contractive map ($k \in \mathbf{R}$ with $k > 0$) provided that $\Phi(F(A)) \leq k\Phi(A)$ for each $A \subseteq C$ and $F(C)$ is bounded. Obviously, if C is complete, F is k - Φ -contractive, with $0 < k < 1$, and $\Phi = \alpha$ or χ , then F is Φ -condensing.

Let X and Y be subsets of Hausdorff topological vector spaces E_1 and E_2 , respectively. Let $F : X \rightarrow K(Y)$; here $K(Y)$ denotes the family of nonempty compact subsets

of Y . We say F is *Kakutani* if F is upper semicontinuous with convex values. A nonempty topological space is said to be acyclic if all its reduced Čech homology groups over the rationals are trivial. Now F is *acyclic* if F is upper semicontinuous with acyclic values. The map F is said to be an *O'Neill* map if F is continuous and if the values of F consist of one or m acyclic components (here m is fixed).

Given two open neighborhoods U and V of the origins in E_1 and E_2 , respectively, a (U, V) -approximate continuous selection of $F : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ is a continuous function $s : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$s(x) \in (F[(x + U) \cap X] + V) \cap Y \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

We say F is *approximable* if it is a closed map and if its restriction $F|_K$ to any compact subset K of X admits a (U, V) -approximate continuous selection for every open neighborhood U and V of the origins in E_1 and E_2 , respectively.

For our next definition let X and Y be metric spaces. A continuous single valued map $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is called a Vietoris map if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) for each $x \in X$, the set $p^{-1}(x)$ is acyclic;
- (ii) p is a proper map i.e. for every compact $A \subseteq X$ we have that $p^{-1}(A)$ is compact.

Definition 2.1. A multifunction $\phi : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ is *admissible* (strongly) in the sense of Gorniewicz, if $\phi : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ is upper semicontinuous, and if there exists a metric space Z and two continuous maps $p : Z \rightarrow X$ and $q : Z \rightarrow Y$ such that

- (i) p is a Vietoris map and
- (ii) $\phi(x) = q(p^{-1}(x))$ for any $x \in X$.

Remark 2.1. It should be noted [7, p. 179] that ϕ upper semicontinuous is superfluous in Definition 2.1.

Suppose X and Y are Hausdorff topological spaces. Given a class \mathcal{X} of maps, $\mathcal{X}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of maps $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ belonging to \mathcal{X} , and \mathcal{X}_c the set of finite compositions of maps in \mathcal{X} . A class \mathcal{U} of maps is defined by the following properties:

- (i) \mathcal{U} contains the class \mathcal{C} of single valued continuous functions;
- (ii) each $F \in \mathcal{U}_c$ is upper semicontinuous and compact valued; and
- (iii) for any polytope P , $F \in \mathcal{U}_c(P, P)$ has a fixed point, where the intermediate spaces of composites are suitably chosen for each \mathcal{U} .

Definition 2.2. $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(X, Y)$ if for any compact subset K of X , there is a $G \in \mathcal{U}_c(K, Y)$ with $G(x) \subseteq F(x)$ for each $x \in K$.

Examples of \mathcal{U}_c^k maps are the Kakutani maps, the acyclic maps, the O'Neill maps, and the maps admissible in the sense of Gorniewicz.

Let Q be a subset of a Hausdorff topological space X . We let \bar{Q} (respectively, $\partial(Q)$, $\text{int}(Q)$) to denote the closure (respectively, boundary, interior) of Q .

Let C be a subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space E and $x \in X$. Then the inward set $I_C(x)$ is defined by

$$I_C(x) = \{x + r(y - x) : y \in C, r \geq 0\}.$$

If C is convex and $x \in C$, then

$$I_C(x) = x + \{r(y - x) : y \in C, r \geq 1\}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let X be a convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space and Y a topological space. If $S, T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ are two set-valued maps such that $T(\text{co}(A)) \subseteq S(A)$ for each finite subset A of X , then we say that S is a generalized KKM map w.r.t. T . The map $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to have the KKM property if for any generalized KKM w.r.t. T map S , the family

$$\{\overline{S(x)} : x \in X\}$$

has the finite intersection property. We let

$$KKM(X, Y) = \{T : X \rightarrow 2^Y : T \text{ has the KKM property}\}.$$

Remark 2.2. If X is a convex space, then $\mathcal{U}_c^k(X, Y) \subset KKM(X, Y)$ (see [4]).

Definition 2.4. Let X be a nonempty set, Y a nonempty convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space and Z a topological space. If $S : X \rightarrow 2^Y$, $T : Y \rightarrow 2^Z$, $F : X \rightarrow 2^Z$ are three set-valued maps such that $T(\text{co}(S(A))) \subseteq F(A)$ for each nonempty finite subset A of X , then F is called a generalized S-KKM map w.r.t. T . If the map $T : X \rightarrow 2^Z$ satisfies that for any generalized S-KKM w.r.t. T map F , the family

$$\{\overline{F(x)} : x \in X\}$$

has the finite intersection property, then F is said to have the S-KKM property. The class

$$S\text{-}KKM(X, Y, Z) = \{T : Y \rightarrow 2^Z : T \text{ has the S-KKM property}\}.$$

Remark 2.3. If $X = Y$ and S is the identity mapping 1_X , then $S\text{-}KKM(X, Y, Z) = KKM(X, Z)$. Also $KKM(Y, Z)$ is a proper subset of $S\text{-}KKM(X, Y, Z)$ for any $S : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ and so $S\text{-}KKM(X, Y, Z)$ is a very large class of maps which includes other important classes of multimaps (see [2,3] for examples).

Remark 2.4. Let X be a convex subset of a Hausdorff topological space, Y a convex space, and Z, W topological spaces and $S : X \rightarrow 2^Y$. If $F \in S\text{-}KKM(X, Y, Z)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(Z, W)$, then $f \circ F \in S\text{-}KKM(X, Y, W)$ (see [3]).

The following result [2] will be needed in the sequel. Throughout the paper, we shall assume that $f \circ F$ is closed whenever f is continuous and F is closed.

Lemma 2.1. *Let C be a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E . Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, C)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. Then F has a fixed point in C .*

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let Φ be either α or χ and C a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a Banach space E . Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, C)$ is a closed $1\text{-}\Phi$ -contractive map. In addition, assume the following condition holds:*

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } \{x_n\} \subseteq C \text{ with } y_n \in F(x_n) \text{ for all } n \text{ and } x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then there exists an } x_0 \in C \text{ with } x_0 \in F(x_0). \end{cases}$$

Then F has a fixed point in C .

Proof. Fix $v \in C$. For each n , define F_n by

$$F_n(x) = \lambda_n v + (1 - \lambda_n)F(x),$$

where $\{\lambda_n\} \subseteq (0, 1)$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the mapping $g_n(y) = \lambda_n v + (1 - \lambda_n)y$. Then each g_n is continuous. Since $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, C)$, by Remark 2.4, $F_n = g_n \circ F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, C)$. Since F is closed and g_n is continuous, each $F_n = g_n \circ F$ is closed. Also, each F_n is $(1 - \lambda_n)\text{-}\Phi$ -contractive and so is Φ -condensing. By Lemma 2.1, each F_n has a fixed point $x_n \in C$, i.e., $x_n \in \lambda_n v + (1 - \lambda_n)F(x_n)$ for each n . Choose $y_n \in F(x_n)$ with $x_n = \lambda_n v + (1 - \lambda_n)y_n$. It further implies that $x_n - y_n = \lambda_n(v - y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $F(C)$ is bounded. By hypothesis, there exists an $x_0 \in C$ with $x_0 \in F(x_0)$. \square

Let C be a convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. The Minkowski functional p of C is defined by

$$p(x) = \inf\{r > 0 : x \in rC\}.$$

The following properties of the Minkowski functional are well known:

- (i) p is continuous on E ;
- (ii) $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$, $x, y \in E$;
- (iii) $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $x \in E$;
- (iv) $0 \leq p(x) < 1$, if $x \in \text{int}(C)$;
- (v) $p(x) > 1$, if $x \notin \bar{C}$;
- (vi) $p(x) = 1$, if $x \in \partial C$.

For $x \in E$, set

$$d_p(x, C) = \inf\{p(x - y) : y \in C\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $0 \in C$ and U a convex open neighborhood of 0 . Suppose $s : \bar{U} \cap C \rightarrow \bar{U} \cap C$*

is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(\bar{U} \cap C, \bar{U} \cap C, C)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. Then there exist $x_0 \in \bar{U} \cap C$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, \bar{U} \cap C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C),$$

where p is the Minkowski functional of U . More precisely, either (i) F has a fixed point $x_0 \in \bar{U} \cap C$, or (ii) there exist $x_0 \in \partial_C(U)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$0 < p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, \bar{U} \cap C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C),$$

where $\partial_C(U)$ denotes the boundary of U relative to C .

Proof. Let $r : E \rightarrow \bar{U}$ be defined by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \bar{U}, \\ \frac{x}{p(x)} & \text{if } x \notin \bar{U}, \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, p(x)\}} \text{ for } x \in E.$$

Since $0 \in U = \text{int}(U)$, p is continuous and so r is continuous. Let f be the restriction of r to C . Since C is convex and $0 \in C$, it follows that $f(C) \subseteq \bar{U} \cap C$. Also $f \in \mathcal{C}(C, \bar{U} \cap C)$. By Remark 2.4, $f \circ F \in s\text{-KKM}(\bar{U} \cap C, \bar{U} \cap C, \bar{U} \cap C)$. Set $G = f \circ F$. Then G is closed. We now show that G is Φ -condensing. Let A be a subset of $\bar{U} \cap C$ such that $\Phi(A) \leq \Phi(G(A))$. If $F(A) \subseteq \bar{U} \cap C$, then $G(A) \subseteq F(A)$ and so $\Phi(A) \leq \Phi(G(A)) \leq \Phi(F(A))$. This implies that \bar{A} is compact since F is Φ -condensing. On the other hand, if $F(A) \subseteq C \setminus \bar{U}$, then $G(A) \subseteq \text{co}(\{0\} \cup F(A))$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A) &\leq \Phi(G(A)) \leq \Phi(\text{co}(\{0\} \cup F(A))) \\ &\leq \Phi(\{0\} \cup F(A)) \\ &= \max\{\Phi(\{0\}), \Phi(F(A))\} = \Phi(F(A)), \end{aligned}$$

which gives \bar{A} is compact. As a result, G is Φ -condensing. Now Lemma 2.1 guarantees that G has a fixed point i.e. there exists an $x_0 \in \bar{U} \cap C$ with $x_0 \in G(x_0)$. Then there exists some $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with $x_0 = f(y_0)$. We now consider two cases: (i) $y_0 \in \bar{U} \cap C$ or (ii) $y_0 \in C \setminus \bar{U}$.

(i) Suppose $y_0 \in \bar{U} \cap C$. Then $x_0 = f(y_0) = y_0$. Consequently,

$$p(y_0 - x_0) = 0 = d_p(y_0, \bar{U} \cap C)$$

and x_0 is a fixed point of F . On the other hand, if $y_0 \in C \setminus \bar{U}$, then

$$x_0 = f(y_0) = \frac{y_0}{p(y_0)}.$$

As a result, for any $x \in \bar{U} \cap C$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_0 - x_0) &= p\left(y_0 - \frac{y_0}{p(y_0)}\right) = \left(\frac{p(y_0) - 1}{p(y_0)}\right) p(y_0) \\ &= p(y_0) - 1 \leq p(y_0) - p(x) = p((y_0 - x) + x) - p(x) \\ &\leq p(y_0 - x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$p(y_0 - x_0) = \inf\{p(y_0 - z) : z \in \bar{U} \cap C\} = d_p(y_0, \bar{U} \cap C).$$

Moreover, $p(y_0 - x_0) > 0$ since $p(y_0 - x_0) = p(y_0) - 1$.

Let $z \in I_{\bar{U}}(x_0) \cap C \setminus (\bar{U} \cap C)$. Then there exists $y \in \bar{U}$ and $c \geq 1$ with $z = x_0 + c(y - x_0)$. Suppose that

$$p(y_0 - z) < p(y_0 - x_0).$$

The convexity of C implies that $(1/c)z + (1 - 1/c)x_0 \in C$. Since $(1/c)z + (1 - 1/c)x_0 = y \in \bar{U}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_0 - y) &= p\left[\frac{1}{c}(y_0 - z) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right)(y_0 - x_0)\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} p(y_0 - z) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) p(y_0 - x_0) \\ &< p(y_0 - x_0). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the choice of y_0 . As a result, we have

$$p(y_0 - x_0) \leq p(y_0 - z) \quad \text{for all } z \in I_{\bar{U}}(x_0) \cap C.$$

The continuity of p further implies that

$$p(y_0 - x_0) \leq p(y_0 - z) \quad \text{for all } z \in \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C.$$

Hence

$$0 < p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, \bar{U} \cap C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C)$$

(here we have inequality since $x_0 \in \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C$). Suppose $x_0 \in U$. Then $\overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} = E$, which implies $d_p(y_0, \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C) = 0$. Hence $x_0 \in \partial_C(U)$. \square

We omit the proof the following result as it can easily be derived using the same arguments as above.

Theorem 3.3. *Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally space E with $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. Then there exist $x_0 \in C$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with*

$$p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}),$$

where p is the Minkowski functional of C in E . More precisely, either (i) F has a fixed point $x_0 \in C$, or (ii) there exist $x_0 \in \partial(C)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$0 < p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}).$$

As an immediate corollary, we have the following:

Corollary 3.4. *Let E be a normed space. Suppose $s : B_R \rightarrow B_R$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(B_R, B_R, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. Then there exist $x_0 \in B_R$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with*

$$\|y_0 - x_0\| = d(y_0, B_R) = d(y_0, \overline{I_{B_R}(x_0)}).$$

More precisely, either (i) F has a fixed point $x_0 \in B_R$, or (ii). there exist $x_0 \in \partial(B_R)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$0 < \|y_0 - x_0\| = d(y_0, B_R) = d(y_0, \overline{I_{B_R}(x_0)}).$$

Proof. Since $p(x) = \|x\|/R$ is the Minkowski functional on B_R , we now apply Theorem 3.3. \square

Remark 3.1. Corollary 3.4 extends Theorem 1 of Lin and Park [10] to the class $s\text{-KKM}$. The result in Lin [9] is also a special case of Corollary 3.4.

If s is the identity $\mathbf{1}_C$, then Theorem 3.3 reduces to the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Suppose $F \in \text{KKM}(C, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. Then there exist $x_0 \in C$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with*

$$p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}).$$

More precisely, either (i) F has a fixed point $x_0 \in C$, or (ii) there exist $x_0 \in \partial(C)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$0 < p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}).$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $\text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. Then for each $a \in \text{int}(C)$, there exist $x_0 = x_0(a) \in C$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with*

$$p_0(y_0 - x_0) = d_{p_0}(y_0, C) = d_{p_0}(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}),$$

where p_0 is the Minkowski functional of $C - a$ in E . More precisely, either (i) F has a fixed point $x_0 \in C$, or (ii) there exist $x_0 \in \partial(C)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$0 < p_0(y_0 - x_0) = d_{p_0}(y_0, C) = d_{p_0}(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}).$$

Proof. Replacing $C, F,$ and s by $\hat{C} := C - a, \hat{F} : \hat{C} \rightarrow 2^E : \hat{F}(x - a) = F(x) - a,$ and $\hat{s} : \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C} : \hat{s}(x - a) = s(x) - a,$ respectively, we may assume that $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Now the result follows immediately from Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.7. Let Φ be either α or χ and C a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a Banach space E with $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, E)$ is a closed $1\text{-}\Phi$ -contractive map. In addition, assume the following condition holds:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \{x_n\} \subseteq C \text{ with } y_n \in F(x_n) \text{ for all } n \text{ and } x_n - r(y_n) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then there exists an } x_0 \in C \text{ with } x_0 \in F(x_0). \end{array} \right.$$

Then there exist $x_0 \in C$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}),$$

where p is the Minkowski functional of C in E . More precisely, either (i) F has a fixed point $x_0 \in C$, or (ii) there exist $x_0 \in \partial(C)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$0 < p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}).$$

Proof. Let $r : E \rightarrow C$ be as defined above. Then r is continuous. Since $r(A) \subseteq \overline{\text{co}}(\{0\} \cup A)$ for each subset A of C , it follows that $\Phi(r(A)) \leq \Phi(A)$ and so $G = r \circ F$ is $1\text{-}\Phi$ -contractive. Also G is closed. By Remark 2.4, $G \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, C)$. Now Theorem 3.1 implies that G has a fixed point x_0 . Hence, as in Theorem 3.2, there exists y_0 with $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ such that

$$p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}). \quad \square$$

Using approximation results, we now obtain some fixed point theorems.

Theorem 3.8. Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $0 \in C$ and U a convex open neighborhood of 0 . Suppose $s : \bar{U} \cap C \rightarrow \bar{U} \cap C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(\bar{U} \cap C, \bar{U} \cap C, C)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. If F satisfies any one of the following conditions for any $x \in \partial_C(U) \setminus F(x)$:

- (i) for each $y \in F(x), p(y - z) < p(y - x)$ for some $z \in \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x)} \cap C$;
- (ii) for each $y \in F(x),$ there exist λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x)} \cap C$;
- (iii) $F(x) \subseteq \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x)} \cap C$;
- (iv) $F(x) \cap \{\lambda x : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset$;
- (v) for each $y \in F(x), p(y - x) \neq p(y) - 1$;

- (vi) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ such that $p^\alpha(y) - 1 \leq p^\alpha(y - x)$;
- (vii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $p^\beta(y) - 1 \geq p^\beta(y - x)$;

then F has a fixed point.

Proof. An application of Theorem 3.2 yields that either

- (1) F has a fixed point in $\bar{U} \cap C$ or
- (2) there exist $x_0 \in \partial_C(U)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with $x_0 = f(y_0)$ such that

$$0 < p(y_0) - 1 = p(y_0 - x_0) = d_p(y_0, \bar{U} \cap C) = d_p(y_0, \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C),$$

where p is the Minkowski functional of U and f is the restriction of the continuous retraction r to C .

Suppose F satisfies condition (i). Assume (2) holds (with x_0 and y_0 as described above) and $x_0 \notin F(x_0)$. Then, by condition (i), we have $p(y_0 - z) < p(y_0 - x_0)$ for some $z \in \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C$. This contradicts the choice of x_0 . Hence F has a fixed point.

Suppose F satisfies condition (ii). Assume (2) holds (with x_0 and y_0 as described above) and $x_0 \notin F(x_0)$. Then, by condition (ii), there exists λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)y_0 \in \overline{I_{\bar{U}}(x_0)} \cap C$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_0 - x_0) &\leq p(y_0 - (\lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)y_0)) = p(\lambda(y_0 - x_0)) \\ &= |\lambda|p(y_0 - x_0) < p(y_0 - x_0), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence F has a fixed point.

The proof for condition (iii) is obvious.

Suppose F satisfies condition (iv). Assume (2) holds (with x_0 and y_0 as described above) and $x_0 \notin F(x_0)$. Then, by condition (iv), $\lambda x_0 \neq y_0$ for each $\lambda > 1$. Notice that $x_0 = f(y_0) = y_0/p(y_0)$ and so $y_0 = \lambda_0 x_0$ with $\lambda_0 = p(y_0) > 1$. Hence F has a fixed point.

Suppose F satisfies condition (v). Assume (2) holds (with x_0 and y_0 as described above) and $x_0 \notin F(x_0)$. Then, by condition (v), $p(y_0 - x_0) \neq p(y_0) - 1$. But we have $p(y_0 - x_0) = p(y_0) - 1$. Hence F has a fixed point.

Suppose F satisfies condition (vi). Assume (2) holds (with x_0 and y_0 as described above) and $x_0 \notin F(x_0)$. Then condition (vi) implies that there exists $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ with $p^\alpha(y_0) - 1 \leq p^\alpha(y_0 - x_0)$. Let $\lambda_0 = 1/p(y_0)$. Then $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(p(y_0) - 1)^\alpha}{p^\alpha(y_0)} &= (1 - \lambda_0)^\alpha < 1 - \lambda_0^\alpha \\ &\leq \frac{p^\alpha(y_0) - 1}{p^\alpha(y_0)} \\ &\leq \frac{p^\alpha(y_0 - x_0)}{p^\alpha(y_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p(y_0 - x_0) > p(y_0) - 1$. This contradicts $p(y_0 - x_0) = p(y_0) - 1$.

Finally suppose F satisfies condition (vii). Then, as above (see the proof of (vi)), it can be seen that F has a fixed point. \square

Remark 3.2. We have obtained a Leray–Schauder type result as an application of Theorem 3.2 (see Theorem 3.8(iv)). This was originally proved by Chang et al. [2]. Theorem 3.8 contains Corollary 4.1 of Chang et al. [2] as a special case.

Using Theorem 3.3 and following the same arguments as above, we get the following result.

Theorem 3.9. *Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. If F satisfies any one of the following conditions for any $x \in \partial(C) \setminus F(x)$:*

- (i) *for each $y \in F(x)$, $p(y - z) < p(y - x)$ for some $z \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;*
- (ii) *for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;*
- (iii) *$F(x) \subseteq \overline{I_C(x)}$;*
- (iv) *$F(x) \cap \{\lambda x : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset$;*
- (v) *for each $y \in F(x)$, $p(y - x) \neq p(y) - 1$;*
- (vi) *for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ such that $p^\alpha(y) - 1 \leq p^\alpha(y - x)$;*
- (vii) *for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $p^\beta(y) - 1 \geq p^\beta(y - x)$;*

then F has a fixed point.

Corollary 3.10. *Let E be a normed space. Suppose $s : B_R \rightarrow B_R$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(B_R, B_R, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. If F satisfies any one of the following conditions for any $x \in \partial(B_R) \setminus F(x)$:*

- (i) *for each $y \in F(x)$ $\|y - z\| < \|y - x\|$ for some $z \in \overline{I_{B_R}(x)}$;*
- (ii) *for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{I_{B_R}(x)}$;*
- (iii) *$F(x) \subseteq \overline{I_{B_R}(x)}$;*
- (iv) *$F(x) \cap \{\lambda x : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset$;*
- (v) *for each $y \in F(x)$, $\|y - x\| \neq \|y\| - R$;*
- (vi) *for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\|y\|^\alpha - R \leq \|y - x\|^\alpha$;*
- (vii) *for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|y\|^\beta - R \geq \|y - x\|^\beta$;*

then F has a fixed point.

Remark 3.3. Corollary 3.10 generalizes Theorem 2 of Lin and Park [10] as well as a result of Lin [9].

Corollary 3.11. *Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Suppose $F \in \text{KKM}(C, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. If F*

satisfies any one of the following conditions for any $x \in \partial(C) \setminus F(x)$:

- (i) for each $y \in F(x)$, $p(y - z) < p(y - x)$ for some $z \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (ii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (iii) $F(x) \subseteq \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (iv) $F(x) \cap \{\lambda x : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset$;
- (v) for each $y \in F(x)$, $p(y - x) \neq p(y) - 1$;
- (vi) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ such that $p^\alpha(y) - 1 \leq p^\alpha(y - x)$;
- (vii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $p^\beta(y) - 1 \geq p^\beta(y - x)$;

then F has a fixed point.

Applying Theorem 3.6, we have the following fixed point result which includes Corollary 4.2 of Chang et al. [2] as a special case.

Theorem 3.12. *Let C be a closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space E with $\text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, E)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. If F satisfies any one of the following conditions for any $x \in \partial(C) \setminus F(x)$:*

- (i) for each $y \in F(x)$, $p_0(y - z) < p_0(y - x)$ for some $z \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (ii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (iii) $F(x) \subseteq \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (iv) $F(x) \cap \{\lambda x : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset$;
- (v) for each $y \in F(x)$, $p_0(y - x) \neq p_0(y) - 1$;
- (vi) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ such that $p_0^\alpha(y) - 1 \leq p_0^\alpha(y - x)$;
- (vii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $p_0^\beta(y) - 1 \geq p_0^\beta(y - x)$;

then F has a fixed point.

We now state an application of Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 3.13. *Let Φ be either α or χ and C a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a Banach space E with $0 \in \text{int}(C)$. Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, E)$ is a closed 1- Φ -contractive map. In addition, assume the following condition holds:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \{x_n\} \subseteq C \text{ with } y_n \in F(x_n) \text{ for all } n \text{ and } x_n - r(y_n) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then there exists an } x_0 \in C \text{ with } x_0 \in F(x_0). \end{array} \right.$$

If F satisfies any one of the following conditions for any $x \in \partial(C) \setminus F(x)$:

- (i) for each $y \in F(x)$, $p(y - z) < p(y - x)$ for some $z \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (ii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (iii) $F(x) \subseteq \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (iv) $F(x) \cap \{\lambda x : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset$;
- (v) for each $y \in F(x)$, $p(y - x) \neq p(y) - 1$;

- (vi) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ such that $p^\alpha(y) - 1 \leq p^\alpha(y - x)$;
- (vii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $p^\beta(y) - 1 \geq p^\beta(y - x)$;

then F has a fixed point.

Following the ideas above, it is possible to obtain other approximation and fixed point theorems in Hilbert spaces (here the retraction r is replaced by the proximity map). These theorems generalize Theorems 3 and 4 of Lin and Park [10].

Theorem 3.14. *Let C be a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, H)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. Then there exist x_0 and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with*

$$\|y_0 - x_0\| = d(y_0, C) = d(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}),$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm induced by the inner product. More precisely, either (i) F has a fixed point $x_0 \in C$, or (ii) there exist $x_0 \in \partial(C)$ and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with

$$0 < \|y_0 - x_0\| = d(y_0, C) = d(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}).$$

Proof. Let $r : H \rightarrow C$ be the proximity map. Then r is nonexpansive and so $G = r \circ F$ is Φ -condensing. By Remark 2.4, $G \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, C)$. Now Lemma 2.1 guarantees that G has a fixed point i.e. there exists an $x_0 \in C$ with $x_0 \in G(x_0)$. Then there exists some $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ with $x_0 = r(y_0)$. Thus

$$\|x_0 - y_0\| = \|r(y_0) - y_0\| = \inf_{y \in C} \|y_0 - y\| = d(y_0, C).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can get

$$\|x_0 - y_0\| = d(y_0, C) = d(y_0, \overline{I_C(x_0)}). \quad \square$$

We only state the following result and leave the obvious details to the reader.

Theorem 3.15. *Let C be a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Suppose $s : C \rightarrow C$ is surjective and $F \in s\text{-KKM}(C, C, H)$ is a closed Φ -condensing map. If F satisfies any one of the following conditions for any $x \in \partial(C) \setminus F(x)$:*

- (i) for each $y \in F(x)$, $\|y - z\| < \|y - x\|$ for some $z \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (ii) for each $y \in F(x)$, there exist λ with $|\lambda| < 1$ such that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \overline{I_C(x)}$;
- (iii) $F(x) \subseteq \overline{I_C(x)}$;

then F has a fixed point.

Acknowledgements

This work was done within the framework of the Associateship Scheme of the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. The author would like to thank the Abdus Salam ICTP for kind hospitality and support.

References

- [1] A. Carbone, G. Conti, Multivalued maps and existence of best approximations, *J. Approx. Theory* 64 (1991) 203–208.
- [2] T.H. Chang, Y.Y. Huang, J.C. Jeng, Fixed point theorems for multifunctions in S-KKM class, *Nonlinear Anal.* 44 (2001) 1007–1017.
- [3] T.H. Chang, Y.Y. Huang, J.C. Jeng, K.H. Kuo, On S-KKM property and related topics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 229 (1999) 212–227.
- [4] T.H. Chang, C.L. Yen, KKM property and fixed point theorems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 203 (1996) 224–235.
- [5] Ky Fan, Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder, *Math. Z.* 112 (1969) 234–240.
- [6] Ky Fan, Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems, *Math. Ann.* 266 (1984) 519–537.
- [7] L. Gorniewicz, M. Slosarski, Topological essentiality and differential inclusions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 45 (1992) 177–193.
- [8] W.B. Kong, X.P. Ding, Approximation theorems and fixed point theorems for multivalued condensing mappings in wedges, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 167 (1992) 468–481.
- [9] T.C. Lin, A note on a theorem of Ky Fan, *Can. Math. Bull.* 22 (1979) 513–515.
- [10] T.C. Lin, S. Park, Approximation and fixed point theorems for condensing composites of multifunctions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 223 (1998) 1–8.
- [11] L.S. Liu, Approximation theorems and fixed point theorems for various classes of 1-set-contractive mappings in Banach spaces, *Acta Math. Sinica* 17 (2001) 103–112.
- [12] L.S. Liu, On approximation theorems and fixed point theorems for non-self-mappings in infinite dimensional Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 188 (1994) 541–551.
- [13] D. O'Regan, N. Shahzad, Approximation and fixed point theorems for countable condensing composite maps, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 68 (2003) 161–168.
- [14] D. O'Regan, N. Shahzad, Random and deterministic fixed point and approximation results for countably 1-set-contractive multimaps, *Applicable Anal.* 82 (2003) 1055–1084.
- [15] W.V. Petryshyn, P.M. Fitzpatrick, Fixed point theorems for multivalued noncompact inward maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 46 (1974) 756–767.
- [16] S. Reich, Approximate selections, best approximations, fixed points and invariant sets, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 62 (1978) 104–113.
- [17] V.M. Sehgal, S.P. Singh, A generalization to multifunctions of Fan's best approximation theorem, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 102 (1988) 534–537.